# VILLAGE OF DOWNERS GROVE ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN REVIEW BOARD VILLAGE HALL - COMMITTEE ROOM 801 BURLINGTON AVENUE

OCTOBER 13, 2011, 7:00 P.M.

Chairman Matthies called the October 13, 2011 meeting of the Architectural Design Review Board to order at 7:05 p.m. and asked for a roll call:

**PRESENT:** Chairman Matthies, Mrs. Acks, Mr. Casey, Mr. Davenport, Mrs. Falco,

Mr. Pappalardo

**ABSENT:** Mr. Russ

**STAFF:** Mr. Jeff O'Brien, Planning Manager

VISITORS: Ms. Catherine O'Connor, Illinois Historic Preservation Agency

#### REMARKS FROM THE CHAIR

Chairman Matthies welcomed a new Board member – Tom Casey. He asked Mr. Casey to give a little background.

Mr. Casey said he is the President of the Downers Grove Historical Society. He indicated his interest in historic preservation and the ideas he was bringing to the Historical Society.

# APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The draft minutes of the October 8, 2009 meeting were approved on motion by Mr. Davenport, seconded by Mrs. Acks. Motion carried by voice vote of 6-0.

#### **OLD BUSINESS - None**

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

#### **Certified Local Government Orientation**

Mr. O'Brien provided some background on the Village's historic preservation efforts. He noted the ADRB had not met since 2009 as the Village was in the process of adopting a new Comprehensive Plan. Mr. O'Brien explained the plan was approved on October 4, 2011 and now the Village was in the process of implementing the plan.

Mr. O'Brien stated the plan contained recommendations to strengthen historic preservation. As such, the Village Council will be considering beginning a building survey program in 2012. He indicated the Board would likely have meetings in 2012 to discuss, review and forward recommendations to the Council for any building survey.

ADRB 1 OCTOBER 13, 2011

Mr. O'Brien explained the Village Council would be adopting the 2012 budget in November 2011 and staff would have more information on a building survey at that time.

Mr. O'Brien introduced Catherine O'Connor from the Illinois Historic Preservation Agency. He stated Ms. O'Connor would provide an overview of the Certified Local Government Program and the requirements of the program.

Ms. O'Connor provided an overview of the CLG program. She discussed funding for the CLG program. She went on to explain the benefits and the requirements of the CLG program.

The Board asked questions about enhancing the Village's historic preservation efforts. The Board also discussed different tax incentives available for protected historic buildings.

**PUBLIC COMMENT** - None

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

MR. PAPPALARDO MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN THE MEETING. MR. CASEY SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 8:20 P.M. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

/s/ Jeff O'Brien Jeff O'Brien, AICP Planning Manager

# REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE DOWNERS GROVE PUBLIC LIBRARY FEBRUARY 22, 2012

#### **MINUTES**

# 1. ROLL CALL

President DiCola called the meeting to order in the Library Meeting Room at 7:30 p.m. Trustees present: Eblen, Greene, Read, DiCola. Trustees absent: Humphreys, Loftus. Also present: Library Director Ashton; Literature and Audio Services Coordinator Sue O'Brien. Visitors: Reference Services Coordinator Bonnie Reid, Children's Services Coordinator Sara Pemberton, John Mochel.

# 2. WELCOME TO VISITORS

President DiCola welcomed the visitors and thanked them for their interest in the work of the Board.

# 3. <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u>

Trustees reviewed the minutes of the regular meeting of February 8, 2012. It was moved by Eblen and seconded by Greene **THAT THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF FEBRUARY 8, 2012 BE APPROVED.** Ayes: Eblen, Greene, Read, DiCola. Abstentions: none. Nays: none. Motion carried.

# 4. PAYMENT OF INVOICES

The Board reviewed the list of invoices submitted for payment. It was moved by Read and seconded by Greene TO APPROVE PAYMENT OF OPERATING INVOICES FOR FEBRUARY 22, 2012 TOTALING \$21,276.87 AND CREDIT MEMOS TOTALING \$64.99. Ayes: Eblen, Greene, Read, DiCola. Abstentions: none. Nays: none. Motion carried.

- 5. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS
  None.
- 6. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON OTHER LIBRARY BUSINESS
  None.

# 7. <u>UNFINISHED BUSINESS</u>

a. Strategic Plan: Library Visits

Ashton reminded the Board of plans for a visit to the Elmhurst Public Library on Monday, March 5, at 3 p.m. and informed the Board that arrangements have been made for a visit to the 95<sup>th</sup> Street Library of the Naperville Public Library on Monday, March 12, at 4:00 p.m. He informed the Board that arrangements are pending on additional visits.

# b. Strategic Plan: Library Buildings and Furnishings Trends Presentation by Rick McCarthy, Dewberry Architects.

Mr. McCarthy presented an informative presentation and answered numerous Board questions about developments in these areas. President DiCola asked if Mr. McCarthy would be willing to meet with the two Board members who were not present at the meeting, to share this useful information with them. Mr. McCarthy indicated that he would be available. The Board thanked him for his help in advancing the Library's planning and development work.

# 8. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

# a. Proposed domain name change from downersgrovelibrary.org to dglibrary.org.

The Board discussed the proposed change and agreed that it was an appropriate step.

# 9. REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

Ashton reported on plans for Community Conversations regarding the Strategic Plan. Report attached.

# 10. EXECUTIVE SESSION FOR DISCUSSION OF A PERSONNEL MATTER

President DiCola entertained a motion to move into a closed session as allowed by the Illinois Open Meetings Act, Chapter 5, 120.2, to consider the compensation and performance of a specific employee.

It was moved by Eblen and seconded by Read THAT THE BOARD MOVE INTO A CLOSED SESSION AS ALLOWED BY THE ILLINOIS OPEN MEETINGS ACT, CHAPTER 5, 120.2, TO CONSIDER THE COMPENSATION AND PERFORMANCE OF A SPECIFIC EMPLOYEE. Ayes: Eblen, Greene, Read, DiCola. Abstentions: None. Nays: none. Motion carried.

The Board met in Executive Session for the stated purpose.

# 11. <u>RECONVENING OF PUBLIC SESSION</u>

Present DiCola reconvened the public session at 8:56 p.m. The Board took no action on matters discussed in Executive Session.

# 12. BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

None.

#### 13. ADJOURNMENT

The regular meeting was adjourned at 8:58 p.m.

# TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING COMMISSION **Minutes**

February 8, 2012, 7:00 p.m.

Council Chambers - Village Hall 801 Burlington Avenue, Downers Grove

Chairman Stuebner called to order the February 8, 2012 meeting of the Transportation and Parking Commission at 7:00 p.m.

A review of the meeting's protocol followed. Chairman Stuebner reminded the public that the commission was a recommending body to the Village Council and stated the minutes were being recorded on village-owned equipment for transcription purposes.

The commissioners and public recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Roll call followed and a quorum was established.

## **ROLL CALL**:

Chairman Stuebner, Commissioners Cronin, Saricks, VIcek (arrives Present:

7:05 p.m.), Schiller, Van Anne, Student Rep. Ms. Aguzino

Absent: Commissioner Wrobel

Staff Present: Traffic Manager Dorin Fera; Police Ofr. Tim Sembach

Visitors:

Jodi Walsh, 4536 Saratoga Ave.; Cindy Schramm, 4442 Saratoga Ave.; Jenny Bauman, 4500 Prince St., Roger Bauman, 4500 Prince St.; Bill Kussman, 4509 Saratoga Ave.; Tom Eagan, 4501 Saratoga Ave.; Rick Lesley, 4503 Linscott Ave.; Mary Kussman, 4504 Saratoga Ave.; Janet

Mitchell, 4605 Prince St.; and Tom Smith, 1205 Grant Street

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The January 11, 2012 minutes were approved on motion by Mr. Schiller and seconded by Mr. Saricks. Motion carried by voice vote of 7-0.

#### PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Tom Smith, 1205 Grant Street, Downers Grove, spoke about the traffic issues resulting from the high school expansion. The first of two concerns were the safety benefits that were offered by the vacation of Prince Street. He stated those benefits would not be realized and that the bus stacking on Prince between Grant and Lincoln would continue because the operational plan from the school stated that the school was not planning on changing the way it did their morning and afternoon pickups. He believed that issue, coupled with the parents picking up their children, would become more compounded because traffic was being compressed into a smaller area. His second issue was that moving the parking lot into the neighborhood had not been adequately considered, given the after-school activities, evenings, and weekends when the facilities were leased out to the park district and other private organizations. The current lot kept that traffic north of the residential area. In viewing the parking study figures, he believed a 100% to 300% increase in traffic would occur in the area due to those activities. He believed the study for the non-school hours was conducted on November 29<sup>th</sup> and was done around the holiday and did not accurately reflect the non-school activity and how it would impact the area.

Mr. Fera stated that staff's reviews are still in progress, and upto this time the focus has been on traffic/parking activities east of Main Street. He reported the North High School was working with the village to meet the village's needs. However, another issue that has arisen in the

discussions is stormwater regulations. **Mr. Fera** discussed that it would probably have some impact on the lot design and the physical locations of certain items. Staff would still be reviewing various issues and would continue to work with the high school.

**Mr. Fera** reported that he could not confirm whether any plans would come before this commission, indicating they would probably be forwarded to village council. He suggested that the residents track the issue to the village council. Asked if any public meetings were scheduled, wherein **Mr. Fera** stated he is not aware of any, but the Village leaders are informed. He did not know what changes, if any, would be made to the high school's plan.

Resident, **Mr. Smith** stated that meetings were held and he reiterated his concerns about the locations of the drives and if turning restrictions could be placed during certain hours. He asked for better enforcement for the no passenger loading areas.

**Mr. Fera** stated that while the high school plans were underway, input could still be forwarded as to the operational aspects of the plan.

**Chairman Steubner** recommended that the residents attend the village meetings to ensure that what was being sought was instituted and taken into consideration by the village before any building permits were issued. **Commissioner VIcek** raised the fact that a YouTube video was available regarding School District 99's last board meeting which discussed the full plan.

As to the traffic flow studies, **Mr. Fera** conveyed that he saw the first draft which included staff's changes and the study appeared to be addressing staff's concerns with more comments to come. He also noted, however, that the traffic study that he reviewed did not cover the hours after school, evenings, and weekends.

**Mr. Bill Kussman**, 4504 Saratoga, Downers Grove, stated he attended this commission's meeting to make the commissioners aware of some of the problems, i.e., cut-through traffic and the traffic increasing from 3,000 to 3500 vehicles, mainly during rush hours. Mr. Kussman discussed the increased bus and parent traffic on Prince Street and asked where were the vehicles to travel to pick up their kids? Again, concerns of congestion were raised.

**Mr. Fera** stated he would obtain a copy of the final traffic impact report.

Suggestions were made that **Mr. Kussman** email the village council and ask for a public hearing and to also request a traffic calming form, which would could then be presented before this commission.

Ms. Jenny Bauman, 4500 Prince Street, Downers Grove, said she had a copy of the traffic study that was presented to the Plan Commission when the vacation of Prince was being requested. Her largest frustration was that the neighborhood was not included in the school district's plans and when she and others did attend meetings, specifically, the Plan Commission, she had 10 minutes to voice a rebuttal on how to stop the vacation of Prince Street. Lastly, she stated the question back to the Plan Commission was if the commission addressed the concerns of the neighborhood, would it financially affect the plan, and the answer was "yes". She believed the plan was moving along fairly quickly because any concerns would financially affect the district's plan and they did not want that to happen. Ms. Bauman voiced concerns about the vacation of Prince Street and the changes that were to occur on the blocks surrounded by Prince, Saratoga, Grant and Sherman as it related to traffic integrity, safety of vehicles, and foot traffic. Other concerns included: of the outcome of the traffic study which stated vacating Prince would have no impact to the transportation system; the study did not address safety in the neighborhood and did not accurately address the traffic flow of the

neighborhood; and the times when the study was taken or not taken. She wanted to be linked into the meetings to discuss the residents' concerns. Concerns were also raised about chaotic vehicle congestion, students walking all over, vehicles parking anywhere, etc.

**Mr. Cronin** asked staff if there was some form of law to notify residents of a street's physical changes, wherein **Mr. Schiller** explained the petition process for this commission.

**Ms. Cindy Schramm**, 4442 Saratoga, Downers Grove, stated the residents were not aware of the school district's plan until last October, when she was invited. At the Plan Commission meeting the school superintendent was invited and stated he contacted the residents in the neighborhood, which she confirmed he did not. She stated she and the residents have attended meetings only to be told to attend the district meetings and only to be told to attend the Traffic and Parking Commission meetings. **Ms. Schramm** discussed the concerns of increased congestion at the intersections of Grant and Saratoga. She stated District 99 already admitted it was not aware of the dangers of what was occurring in that area. She was asking for someone to advocate for the neighbors.

**Commissioner Vicek** mentioned that at the last school board meeting Wight and Company, for the School District, did review how many times it notified the residents.

- **Mr. Tom Eagan**, 4501 Saratoga, Downers Grove, stated that the school board said the information was on their website, but that public hearing notices were put up usually in places where neighbors did not walk; there was no personal notification or nothing in the mail. He reiterated that additional traffic would be coming across Grant. He discussed the difficulty in maneuvering Saratoga currently with school activity and the safety issues for the intersection of Grant and Saratoga. If the lot remained where it was, he stated another exit could be created on Sherman to exit to Ogden Avenue, thereby relieving some congestion. He thanked this commission for listening and passing on the petition information. He asked to be included with other neighbors in the future discussions with the school district. He found it interesting that there was discussion at the last board meeting of adding a crosswalk in the middle of Saratoga.
- 1. File # 04-12 Multi-Day Overnight Parking Permits Lot L. Mr. Fera reported the police department usually handles calls from people who want to stay overnight in Chicago or visit someone and will allow them to stay over one night only. Staff, however, would like to consider a multi-day overnight parking permit for Lot L (south end) to be obtained through village hall, on a trial basis. A number of locations were considered. Mr. Fera discussed that if the multi-day permits were initiated fairly quickly, he could assess its progression over the year and then review it next December. Per Officer Sembach, currently Lot L was filled about 85% with commuters. Continuing, Mr. Fera summarized that the fee being considered would be twice the daily fee, or, \$6.00. Asked how it differed from the regular overnight parking, Officer Sembach explained that currently the police department did not allow multi-day parking, which this would be. And if the request was for a Friday, Saturday or Sunday, the department tried to accommodate it. Per Mr. Fera, the permits could be purchased ahead of time as long as the vehicles left by 8:00 a.m. Monday morning. Concerns were raised that if someone travels they may not be able to remove their car by that time, to which Mr. Fera was aware, stating such individual situations would have to be evaluated.

Commissioner concerns were raised about illumination of Lot L wherein **Mr. Fera** explained what was currently there and should the permitting continue, he expected that the lighting would need to be upgraded, as needed. Liability concerns for the village were also raised with **Mr. Fera** explaining that the lot could include similar signage as the parking deck, which stated that the village was not responsible if something happened to a person's vehicle. Other commissioners supported increasing the price of the permit to \$10.00 per night, with Ms. Vlcek

not in favor because the vehicles had to be monitored and payment for the service had to be considered. Ms. VIcek agreed \$6.00 was too low but would not support \$10.00.

A question was asked whether the Police Department could issue the permit prior to 8:00 a.m., wherein the **Officer Sembach** stated it was an option to consider. Another question was whether the permit could be purchased like a garbage sticker and used when a person wanted. **Mr. Fera** stated explained in more detail how the multi-day permit would be used. He also said he would leave it up to the person using the permit to determine that.

**Ms. Jenny Bauman**, 4500 Prince, asked if there could be a machine, similar to the Belmont Station, installed in the parking lot, since it appeared to be much work to go to village hall and that, personally, she would not be back at 8:00 a.m. from a trip. **Mr. Fera** appreciated the comments, and reminding everyone the permit would be on a trial period, wherein many of the unforeseen issues would need to be addressed and resolved before a permanent program could be initiated.

MR. SARICKS MADE A MOTION TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND TO FORWARD TO VILLAGE COUNCIL, AS PRESENTED, WITH THE PROVISION THAT THE VILLAGE COUNCIL REVIEW THE PERMIT'S PRICING.

SECONDED BY MS. VAN ANNE.

#### MOTION CARRIED BY VOICE VOTE OF 7-0.

2. File # 05-12 Village Parking Holidays. Mr. Fera discussed that this was a village request to get the Village of Downers Grove on board with its neighboring villages regarding holiday parking restrictions, etc. No federal or state holidays would be matched, however. Officer Sembach stated the department would like to return the holidays, as presented, commenting that the Police Department recognized state holidays as the parking holidays.

Dialog was raised that it did not matter what days the village gives its employees as days off. It made more sense to make the holidays as the national holidays and not discriminate one with the other. A discussion ensued on what the City of Chicago did. Other examples were discussed and the fact that the list of holidays was confusing. A suggestion was made to table the matter and get a legal opinion.

Mr. Fera closed by stating that once the Village holidays are resolved, he would be promoting a campaign reminding the public of the appropriate holidays.

MR. CRONIN MADE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSED HOLIDAY LIST, AS PRESENTED. NO ONE SECONDED THE MOTION. MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF A SECOND.

MS. VLCEK MADE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSED HOLIDAY LIST BUT TO SUBSTITUTE THE WORDS "ELECTION DAY" AND "VETERANS DAY" FOR THE WORDS "DAY AFTER THANKSGIVING" AND "CHRISTMAS EVE", RESPECTIVELY.

SECONDED BY MS. VAN ANNE.

AYE: MS. VLCEK, MS. VAN ANNE, MS. AGUZINO

NAY: MR. SCHILLER, MR. STUEBNER, MR. SARICKS, MR. CRONIN

MOTION FAILED: VOTE OF 3-4.

- 3. File # 06-12 Short-Term Parking Revisions Additional Accessible Spaces. Mr. Fera explained that this was one of many revisions from the downtown parking study coming before this commission for the future. This revision is required in consideration of the ADA requirements within the village's parking lots.
- Mr. Schiller questioned the number of spaces in Lot B, stating that more than enough handicap spaces were being provided than required. He suggested that staff evaluate if, in fact, the nine spaces were being use regularly by handicap commuters/shoppers. Mr. Fera stated that about half of the spaces were being used regularly and that he did not recall seeing all nine spaces used. He reminded the commissioners, however, that the nine spaces were inserted to compensate for Lot D which had no handicaps spaces for many years. Staff offered to remove some spaces and be flexible. Dialog was raised that Lot B near the train station did not have enough handicap spaces and if the proposal before the commissioners supplemented that. Mr. Stuebner suggested keeping the nine spaces where proposed until the on-street accessible spaces were installed.

As to whether there were any proximity requirements to locate handicap spaces on the street, **Mr. Fera** stated there were none but that you wanted to locate the spaces in locations where they were completely accessible and usually those were at the ends of a block. Further details followed regarding the balancing of spaces that would have to take place. Regarding a time constraint for the proposal, **Mr. Fera** stated staff was trying to meet the recommendations of the Downtown parking study and completing the spaces by this year. **Mr. Fera** reviewed some of the other recommendations coming out of the Downtown parking study.

No public comments received.

MR. SCHILLER MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND KEEP LOT B WITH THE EXISTING NINE (9) ACCESSIBLE SPACES UNTIL THE ON-STREET ACCESSIBLE SPACES WERE INSTALLED IN THE FUTURE.

#### SECONDED BY MR. SARICKS.

Questions followed whether additional parking had to be made to Lot C, wherein **Mr**. **Fera** explained that Lot C had to meet its own handicap requirements separately, just as the other lots. As explained by Mr. Saricks, this was a temporary measure.

#### **ROLL CALL:**

AYE: MS. VLCEK, MR. SCHILLER, MR. STUEBNER, MR. SARICKS, MR. CRONIN,

MS. VAN ANNE, MS. AUGZINO

NAY: NONE

# **MOTION CARRIED BY VOICE VOTE: 7-0**

**4. File #07-12 Short-Term Parking Revisions - Free Parking after 11:00 a.m. Mr. Fera** summarized that one of the other findings from the Downtown parking study was that many of the permit parking lots filled up earlier in the day than originally thought, with the lots being filled by approximately 9:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. In order to make the spaces available for shoppers, staff's thought was to open up the free spaces at 11:00 a.m., thereby giving enough time for the police to enforce the regular commuter parking first. Parking signage would be changed accordingly.

Asked if the change would reduce the enforcement burden on the police department because they would not have to be monitoring the spaces as late as 12:00 p.m., **Officer Sembach** indicated that it meant the department would have enforce the non-permit lots sooner, which he said he would do his best. Asked if the change meant a decrease in fines, he stated that he had not reviewed what time tickets were issued. It could have an impact he was not sure. The suggested revision was to have the downtown parking lots be free parking after 11:00 a.m.

MS. VAN ANNE MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS OF HAVING FREE PARKING IN THE DOWNTOWN PARKING LOTS AFTER 11:00 A.M.

SECONDED BY MR. SCHILLER.

MOTION CARRIED BY VOICE VOTE OF 6-1 (NAY: MS. VLCEK)

**Ms. Vicek** said she voted Nay because the one hour change was not significant enough and she had concerns about the added costs for signage and police enforcement.

#### **OLD BUSINESS**

**Mr. Fera** reviewed his handouts, one of which was the roadway classification map which he reported was approved by Village Council back in 1995. He stated he would return with the documentation regarding how that map came about. An aerial review of the Belmont project followed noting another pay station unit will be added north of the tracks for Lot J. Neighborhood calls have mentioned that it would be a good idea to have a sign on Haddow preventing right turns into the lot from the west. Details followed on the progress that had been made.

Also announced was the fact that the Village and Downers Grove School District 58 was awarded \$190,000.00 under the Safe Route to School program, federally funded and under the State of Illinois. Part of the funding will be used to improve Blodgett and Randall near Whittier School. Projects are expected to start in about a year after the contracts/agreements with the state are finalized, and more information would be forthcoming.

Regarding the 55<sup>th</sup> Street DuPage County project (from Main to Fairview), **Mr. Fera** stated he has not heard anything new on the project. Another project -- the bike trail along 31<sup>st</sup> Street -- has been awarded funding through CMAP. Details on that project followed.

Regarding the North High School development, **Mr. Fera** said he was waiting to hear about the comments sent to the district regarding the area east of Main Street. Staff was proposing the elimination of the existing access onto Highland Avenue from the current parking lot. When the new east lot is constructed, the access point should be leading to Sherman and leading to Grant, leaving the traffic out of the neighborhoods. From **Mr. Fera's** understanding, the information received is that the school is staging the exits of everyone in the parking lot so that they are not coming out simultaneously. As explained to village staff from the school, there will be several movements of vehicles out of that parking lot between 3:00 and 5:00 p.m. with restricted right and left turns. **Mr. Cronin** shared his doubts about the process.

**Mr. Fera** discussed how the traffic issues were reviewed for the high school project, noting the parking lot on Main Street will have a crossing guard for large events. Per a question, the curb cut onto Highland would be removed. He will continue to meet with the school and their engineers, because access recommendations can still be made since the plan is not finalized. He reminded the commissioners that a traffic study typically will focus on the peak hours of

operation of a facility, citing that there are different activities for the school during the day, than during after-school hours.

Other updates followed on the following: Midwestern University submitting plans for a new auditorium off of 31<sup>st</sup> Street, which includes a traffic signal; the Main and Maple project, and the downtown striping project for Main and Curtis Streets moving forward to Village Council.

The second handout **Mr. Fera** reviewed contained information on Village intersection crash reports for 2011. He reviewed some of the higher numbers throughout the village. As to the accidents reviewed along Main Street within the downtown area, **Mr. Fera** reported there were 44 accidents recorded in 2011, which translates to an accident about every 8 days. He stated that staff reviews these patterns and questions what contributes to the high number of accidents and what can be done to reduce those numbers.

**Mr. Fera** asked the commissioners to review its status list of projects and activities that passed through this Commission. He asked that commissioners e-mail him individually of any changes they are aware of. It was agreed that staff would update any such information up to the day of the next Commission meeting. A suggestion was made that if a deadline was passed to change the color to indicate same.

Other questions raised included: the enforcement of the truck weight restrictions on Lacey Avenue; the review of the sidewalk matrix; and clarification on a previous motion made by this body. Regarding the previous Commission motion, **Mr. Fera** explained that the Village Council tabled the item to a date uncertain at the request of the petitioner. **Mr. Saricks** believed that if the item was tabled, the matter should return to this commission in order to get a disposition of the items and not be forgotten. **Mr. Fera** stated that yes, he will return with the information on how the council voted to keep the Commission informed.

#### COMMUNICATIONS

Included in commissioners' packets.

# **ADJOURN**

MR. SARICKS MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 9:16 P.M. MR. CRONIN SECONDED THE MOTION. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY VOICE VOTE OF 7-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Celeste Weilandt, Recording Secretary (as transcribed from MP3 digital recording)